

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Magnetodielectric effects in the ferroelectric ferromagnet $BiMnO_3$

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2004 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 16 9059 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/16/49/021)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 27/05/2010 at 19:26

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 16 (2004) 9059-9068

Magnetodielectric effects in the ferroelectric ferromagnet BiMnO₃

ChongGui Zhong^{1,2,3}, JingHuai Fang¹ and Qing Jiang²

 ¹ Department of Physics, Nantong University (Teachers College), Nantong 226007, People's Republic of China
 ² Department of Physics, Suzhou University, Suzhou 215006, People's Republic of China

E-mail: chgzhong@nttc.edu.cn

Received 22 July 2004, in final form 31 October 2004 Published 26 November 2004 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/16/9059 doi:10.1088/0953-8984/16/49/021

Abstract

The changes in the dielectric constant of perovskite BiMnO₃ at about $T_{\rm C}$, which are induced by the magnetic ordering as well as by the application of magnetic fields, indicate that coupling exists between the magnetism and the dielectric properties. The soft-mode theory based on the single-well potential model and the molecular-field approximation to the Heisenberg model are successfully applied to the electric and magnetic subsystems coexisting in the perovskitetype ferroelectromagnet BiMnO₃, respectively. By adding an appropriate coupling term, which is related to a combination of electrical polarization and spin correlation, we investigate the inherent coupling between the ferroelectric and ferromagnetic orders, and find that the application of magnetic fields induces a fairly large suppression of $\chi_{\rm p}$ around $T_{\rm C}$, and the field-induced change in the magnetocapacitance effect becomes maximal at $T_{\rm C}$, which are in good agreement with experimental data in ferroelectromagnetic BiMnO₃.

1. Introduction

The behaviour of systems with strongly coupled magnetic and electronic degrees of freedom has drawn significant interest in recent years due to both challenges for many-body theory as well as new phenomena for potential applications as transducers, actuators, and sensors [1–3]. One manifestation of such coupling in the itinerant limit is the interplay between ferromagnetism and charge order in the colossal magnetoresistance manganites [4, 5]. The effects of strong coupling are rather subtle in the limit of localized charge, and are manifested chiefly through a magnetocapacitive response, which can take several different forms [6–8]. After the first experimental realization of magnetoelectric coupling in Cr_2O_3 [9], similar effects have been

³ Address for correspondence in China: Department of Physics, Nantong University (Teachers College), Nantong 226007, People's Republic of China.

observed in many other materials including Gd_2CuO_4 [10], YMnO₃ [11], EuTiO₃ [12] and BiMnO₃ [13]. The present strong interest in coupled magnetic dielectric properties is further motivating the search for so-called multiferroic materials in which two or all three ferroic orders (ferroelectrics, ferromagnetics, and ferroelastics) coexist in the same phase [14, 15].

Ferroelectromagnets, as a kind of multiferroic materials, in which (anti)ferroelectric and (anti)ferromagnetic ordering coexist spontaneously at low temperature, have also been the object of intensive theoretical and experimental studies from the 1960s to 1970s [16, 17]. It has been reported that the yttrium and rare-earth manganites AMnO₃ crystallize in two structural phase [18, 19]: a hexagonal phase for A with a small ionic radius; and an orthorhombic phase for A possessing a greater ionic radius. It turns out that the structure is primarily determined by the size of the A-site ions. In these compounds, magnetic ordering occurs in both hexagonal and orthorhombic manganite due to 180° and 90° superexchange in Mn–O–Mn bonds and strong Jahn–Teller distortion. Ferroelectric ordering occurs only in hexagonal systems which belong to the noncentrosymmetric symmetries (the *P6₃cm* space group). A good example is yttrium manganite YMnO₃ or LuMnO₃, in which each Mn³⁺ ion with *s* = 2 is surrounded by three in-plane and two apical oxygen ions, and thus MnO₅ blocks are formed. In these MnO₅, the displacement of Mn ions along the *c*-axis, which is subject to a trigonal crystal field, causes a ferroelectric polarization. In the orthorhombic phase such as LaMnO₃, however, spontaneous polarization does not exist, due to the centrosymmetric structure.

Recently, Atou, Moreira and their co-workers performed band-structure determinations on a perovskite BiMnO₃ by electron diffraction and neutron powder diffraction [20–22], and thought that although the ionic radii of trivalent lanthanum in LaMnO₃ and trivalent bismuth in BiMnO₃ are close to each other (Bi³⁺:1.24 Å, La³⁺:1.22 Å), their structures are different to a great extent. LaMnO₃ has an orthorhombic GdFeO₃-type structure, while BiMnO₃ is related to a heavily distorted perovskite structure where the bismuth cation is shifted from the centre of the hexagon of the oxide anions due to the polarized lone pair 6s² of the Bi³⁺, resulting in a lowering of the symmetry of the whole structure (space group *C*2) below 750–770 K. All these indicate that the system has an off-centre distortion responsible for the polar behaviour.

Therefore, compared with the perovskite LaMnO₃, BiMnO₃ is a ferroelectromagnet, which shows the coexistence and coupling between the two orderings. For the magnetic structure, data analysis reveals a collinear ferromagnetic structure with the spin direction along [010] and a magnetic moment of 3.2 μ B, which is slightly smaller than that of the fully aligned spin value of 4 μ B for Mn³⁺. This is because the ferromagnetism of BiMnO₃ stems directly from orbital ordering, and among the six unique Mn–O–Mn superexchange pathways, four are ferromagnetic and two antiferromagnetic [21].

In fact, due to the inherent coupling between the ferroelectric and ferromagnetic orders in the multiferroic BiMnO₃, Kimura *et al* [13] reported that not only does the magnetic ordering and the application of magnetic fields suppress the dielectric constants, but a fairly large negative magnetocapacitance has also been observed in the vicinity of the ferromagnetic transition temperature $T_{\rm C}$. As to these experimental results, although they have made a phenomenological interpretation, a profound understanding of the magnetoelectric coupling mechanism in BiMnO₃ is still an important and necessary issue.

Liu and Gao *et al* utilized Monte Carlo simulations on the basis of the Ising–Diffour model to investigate the phase transition in the two-dimensional ferroelectromagnetic lattice where the spin moment and polarization interact [23, 24]. For BiMnO₃, however, things are different. First, the Heisenberg model must be applied instead of the Ising model, since the electronic configuration of Mn³⁺ ion in BiMnO₃ is $t_{2g}^3 e_g^1$ (spin quantum number s = 2) as in the famous orbital-ordered manganite LaMnO₃. Second, based on the available experimental data for the incipient ferroelectric EuTiO₃ and the hexagonal ferroelectromagnetic YMnO₃,

Katsufuji *et al* [12] found that the changes in dielectric and magnetic properties of these materials are dominated by the pair correlation of the nearest-neighbour Mn ion spins, $\vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j$. So they proposed that the dielectric constant and spin correlation $\langle \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j \rangle$ are related as $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0(1 + \alpha \langle \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j \rangle)$, which differs from the coupling term previously suggested in [23] and [24]. In our earlier work [25], we proposed a possible form for general magnetoelectric coupling, which is related to a combination of electrical polarization and spin correlation, to study the thermodynamic properties of the system with ferroelectric and antiferromagnetic coupling. It was found that an additional electrical polarization is induced by the effect of the magnetic moment, and this correspondingly leads to a reduction in the dielectric susceptibility at low temperature, which is consistent with the experimental results [11].

Thus, it is reasonable to add a term in the Hamiltonian of the form $g \sum_l \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} q_l^2 \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j$, which describes the coupling interaction between the electrical and magnetic subsystems, in order to study the changes in the dielectric constant induced by the magnetic ordering as well as by the application of magnetic fields which were observed in BiMnO₃. On such an assumption, we obtain an analytical relation between the polarization susceptibility and the spin correlation as well as between the dielectric constants and the spin correlation, which is in good agreement with the experimental results. Our results show that the polarization and polarization susceptibility are related to the spin correlation $\langle \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j \rangle$. We also discuss the behaviour of the magnetocapacitance at different temperatures and the polarization susceptibility under different magnetic fields.

In our present article, we first deal with the electrical subsystem, including electricalmagnetic coupling, using the soft-mode theory under the mean-field approximation. Second, to further investigate the temperature and magnetic-field dependence of the polarization susceptibility and magnetocapacitance, we study the magnetic spin correlation, which influences the behaviour of the polarization. Finally, we give our numerical results and a detailed discussion.

2. Model and analysis

Considering the difficulty in dealing with the magnetic structure of BiMnO₃ and noticing that the highly distorted perovskite structure may be transformed at a high temperature and pressure to the orthorhombic perovskite-type [13], we simulated this complicated ferromagnet with a partial antiferromagnetic order by a full ferromagnet with orthorhombic perovskite structure, to discuss the magnetodielectric effect. Thus, we consider the Hamiltonian for a three-dimensional cubic system with periodic boundary conditions. Two parameters, q_l and s_i are introduced here to represent the electrical displacement at the Bi site and the Heisenberg spin at the Mn site, respectively. In addition, the coupling interaction between the electrical and magnetic subsystems is taken into account. Following Janssen's model [26] the Hamiltonian for this coupling system can be presented as follows:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{m}} + \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{e}} + \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{me}} \tag{1}$$

where \mathcal{H}^{m} denotes the Hamiltonian for the magnetic subsystem, \mathcal{H}^{e} is the Hamiltonian for the electrical subsystem and \mathcal{H}^{me} the coupling interaction between the two subsystems. Here, the soft-mode theory under the mean-field approximation is applied to solve \mathcal{H}^{e} . In treating \mathcal{H}^{e} , one assumes that the Mn and O ions are fixed and only Bi ions vibrate like a harmonic oscillator with small additional anharmonic part. That is to say q_{l} at each site is subjected to a single-well potential. Thus \mathcal{H}^{e} in the presence of the electric field can be written as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}^{e} = \sum_{l} \left[\frac{p_{l}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega_{0}^{2} q_{l}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \gamma q_{l}^{4} \right] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l} \sum_{l'} \upsilon_{ll'} q_{l} q_{l'} - \sum_{l} E q_{l}$$
(2)

where p_l is the momentum at site l, and ω_0 is the inherent frequency. The second term is the harmonic part and the third term the anharmonic one. $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l} \sum_{l'} v_{ll'} q_l q_{l'}$ denotes the two-body interaction potential and the last term the electric field effect. Here we use the simplest form to describe the anharmonic motion at the Bi site.

The interaction between Mn³⁺ spins originates from superexchange (mainly ferromagnetic) through the O 2p state. \mathcal{H}^m with s = 2 can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{m}} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J_{1} \vec{s}_{i} \cdot \vec{s}_{j} + \sum_{[i,j]} J_{2} \vec{s}_{i} \cdot \vec{s}_{j} - \sum_{i} \vec{h} \cdot \vec{s}_{i}$$
(3)

where we take into account exchange interactions up to the second nearest neighbours. J_1 and J_2 represent the ferromagnetic coupling between the nearest neighbours and the next-nearest neighbours, respectively. \vec{s}_i is the Heisenberg spin at the Mn site *i*, and *h* the external magnetic field parallel to the *z* axis. $\langle i, j \rangle$ and [i, j] denote the single summation over the nearest neighbours and the next nearest neighbours, respectively.

As pointed out in the previous section and [25], we consider the coupling term between the magnetic and electric subsystems as

$$\mathcal{H}^{\rm me} = -g \sum_{l} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} q_l^2 \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j \tag{4}$$

where g is the coupling coefficient. Here, only the quadratic term of q_l is taken because of the time-reversal and space-reversal symmetries. l represents the lattice site of the Bi ion, and i and j denote distinct nearest-neighbour Mn ions to a given Bi ion.

First, we deal with the electrical subsystem, considering electrical-magnetic coupling. Under the mean-field approximation, the single-ion Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}_{l}^{E} = \mathcal{H}_{l}^{e} + \mathcal{H}_{l}^{me} = \frac{p_{l}^{2}}{2m} + \left(\frac{1}{2}m\omega_{0}^{2} - g\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle}\langle\vec{s}_{i}\cdot\vec{s}_{j}\rangle\right)q_{l}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\gamma q_{l}^{4} - \sum_{l'}\upsilon_{ll'}\langle q_{l'}\rangle q_{l} - Eq_{l}.$$
 (5)

To facilitate further discussion, we define

$$\frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2(g) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2 - g\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \langle \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j \rangle.$$
⁽⁶⁾

The magnetic effect on the electrical subsystem is embodied by $\omega_0(g)$, thus,

$$\mathcal{H}_{l}^{E} = \frac{p_{l}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_{0}^{2}\left(g\right)q_{l}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\gamma q_{l}^{4} - \sum_{l'}\upsilon_{ll'}\langle q_{l'}\rangle q_{l} - Eq_{l}.$$
(7)

According to the soft-mode theory based on the mean-field approximation, we can then obtain

$$\left(m\omega_0^2(g) + 3\gamma\sigma_l + \gamma\langle q_l \rangle^2 \right) \langle q_l \rangle = \sum_{l'} \upsilon_{ll'} \langle q_{l'} \rangle + E$$

$$\left(m\omega_0^2(g) + 3\gamma \left(\sigma_l + \langle q_l \rangle^2 \right) \right) \sigma_l = k_{\rm B}T$$

$$(8)$$

where $k_{\rm B}$ and T are Boltzmann's constant and thermal temperature, and σ_l is the fluctuation of the Bi ion displacement, which is defined by $\sigma_l = \langle q_l - \langle q_l \rangle \rangle^2$. Likewise, the frequency of the soft-mode can be derived:

$$m\omega_{\tilde{q}}^2(g) = m\omega_0^2(g) + 3\gamma \left(\sigma_l + \langle q_l \rangle^2\right) - \upsilon_{\tilde{q}}$$
⁽⁹⁾

where

$$\upsilon_{\vec{q}} = \sum_{l'} \upsilon_{ll'} \exp\left[-\mathrm{i}\vec{q} \cdot \left(\vec{R}_l - \vec{R}_{l'}\right)\right]$$
(10)

is the interaction potential at the wavevector \vec{q} . For ferroelectric BiMnO₃, the soft-phonon mode is in the centre of the Brillouin zone ($\vec{q} = 0$). Therefore, beginning with the single-mode theory under the mean-field approximation, the frequency of the soft-phonon mode can be written as

$$m\omega_{\vec{q}=0}^{2}(g) = m\omega_{\vec{q}=0}^{2}(0)\left(1 - \alpha \langle \vec{s}_{i} \cdot \vec{s}_{j} \rangle\right)$$
(11)

where

$$\alpha = \frac{2z_2g}{m\omega_{\tilde{q}=0}^2(0)} = \frac{2z_2g}{m\omega_0^2 + 3\gamma \left(\sigma_l + \langle q_l \rangle^2\right) - \upsilon_{\bar{q}=0}}.$$
(12)

 $\omega_{\vec{q}=0}(0)$ is the frequency of the soft-phonon mode as the coupling interaction is not considered, and it is approximately temperature independent at very low temperature. $z_2 = 12$ is the number of the spin correlations that will directly affect the motion of Bi in one unit. The static polarization susceptibility, which embodies the dielectric constant, is given by

$$\chi_{\rm p} = \frac{1}{m\omega_{\bar{q}=0}^2(0)} \left(1 + \alpha \langle \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j \rangle \right) = \chi_{\rm p}(0) \left(1 + \alpha \langle \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j \rangle \right) \tag{13}$$

where $\chi_p(0)$ is the static susceptibility in the absence of spin correlation. Thus, the relative dielectric constant ε can be derived from equation (13) as follows:

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon \left(0 \right) \left(1 + \beta \langle \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j \rangle \right) \tag{14}$$

where

$$\varepsilon \left(0 \right) = 1 + \chi_{\rm p} \left(0 \right) \tag{15}$$

$$\beta = \frac{\alpha \chi_{\rm p}(0)}{1 + \chi_{\rm p}(0)}.\tag{16}$$

From the above equation, we can conclude that our theoretical result of ε shows a good agreement with the dielectric properties fitted from the experimental data [12]. It is shown that the coupling between the polarization and spin correlations arises from the coupling of magnetic fluctuations to the soft-phonon frequencies. That is, the spin correlations perturb the optical phonon frequencies, which in turn shift the dielectric constant. To investigate how the dielectric constant varies under different conditions of magnetic field, we take into account the spin correlation in the coupling system. Then, the Hamiltonian for the magnetic subsystem can be written:

$$\mathcal{H}^{M} = \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{m}} + \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{me}} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left(J_{1} - g \sum_{l} \langle q_{l}^{2} \rangle \right) \vec{s}_{i} \cdot \vec{s}_{j} + \sum_{[i,j]} J_{2} \vec{s}_{i} \cdot \vec{s}_{j} - \sum_{i} \vec{h} \cdot \vec{s}_{i}.$$
(17)

Using a standard molecular-field approach, we rewrite the Hamiltonian for spin at site *i*:

$$\mathcal{H}_i^M = -H_i^x s_i^x - H_i^z s_i^z \tag{18}$$

where H_i^v (v = x, z) is the equivalent magnetic field imposed on spin at site *i*. *x*, *z* denote the spin components.

$$H_i^x = -z_1 \left(J_1 - z_3 g \langle q_l^2 \rangle \right) \langle s_i^x \rangle - z_2 J_2 \langle s_i^x \rangle$$

$$H_i^z = h - z_1 \left(J_1 - z_3 g \langle q_l^2 \rangle \right) \langle s_i^z \rangle - z_2 J_2 \langle s_i^z \rangle$$
(19)

where $z_1 = 6$, $z_2 = 12$ are the coordinate numbers of the nearest and the next-nearest neighbours of Mn³⁺, and $z_3 = 4$ is the number of Bi³⁺ that will directly affect the spin configuration at each pair of correlation. According to statistical physics, the average magnetic

moment of different directions can be derived by using the following solutions in the case of s = 2:

$$\langle s_i^v \rangle = -\frac{1}{Z_i} \sum_{k=1}^5 \frac{\partial \lambda_i^{(k)}}{\partial H_i^v} \exp(-\beta \lambda_i^{(k)}); \qquad Z_i = \sum_{k=1}^5 \exp(-\beta \lambda_i^{(k)})$$
(20)

where $\beta = 1/k_{\rm B}T$, and $\lambda_i^{(k)}$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2H_i^z & -H_i^x & 0 & 0 & 0\\ -H_i^x & -H_i^z & -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}H_i^x & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}H_i^x & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}H_i^x & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}H_i^x & H_i^z & -H_i^x\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -H_i^x & 2H_i^z \end{pmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues are readily obtained. Then, the self-consistent equation (20) reduces to

$$\langle s_i^x \rangle = \frac{H_i^x}{H_i} \frac{4\sinh 2\beta H_i + 2\sinh \beta H_i}{2\cosh 2\beta H_i + 2\cosh \beta H_i + 1}$$
(21)

$$\langle s_i^z \rangle = \frac{H_i^s}{H_i^x} \langle s_i^x \rangle \tag{22}$$

where $H_i = \sqrt{(H_i^x)^2 + (H_i^z)^2}$. Within the framework of the mean-field approximation, on condition that only two spin components are considered, the spin correlation between the nearest neighbours can be decoupled as

$$\langle \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j \rangle = \langle s_i^x \rangle \langle s_j^x \rangle + \langle s_i^z \rangle \langle s_j^z \rangle.$$
⁽²³⁾

3. Results and discussions

In order to investigate the magnetodielectric effect in multiferroic perovskite BiMnO₃, the thermodynamic average value of physical quantities via temperature $k_{\rm B}T$ and via magnetic field *h* can be obtained numerically by the above self-consistent equations (8), (21) and (22), respectively. In the light of references [23, 24] and in the case of real multiferroic perovskite BiMnO₃, which displays a lower magnetic ordering $T_{\rm C} = 105$ K and a rather high ferroelectric $T_{\rm E} = 750$ K, the parameters used in our calculation are $J_1 = -0.25k_{\rm B}$ K, $J_2 = -0.1k_{\rm B}$ K which produce a magnetic phase transition temperature $T_{\rm C} = 10$ K for a cubic perovskite ferromagnetic system, $m\omega^2 = 15$, $\gamma = 55$, $\upsilon = 20$ which produce a phase temperature $T_{\rm E} = 80$ K for a ferroelectric interaction, and g = 0.05 for the magnetodielectric coupling interaction. Although the $T_{\rm E}$ and $T_{\rm C}$ given by these parameters are different from those in real material BiMnO₃, the ratio of $T_{\rm C}$ and $T_{\rm E}$ is approximately equal to that in BiMnO₃; thus we use these parameters to study analogously the magnetodielectric effect in this ideal multiferroic single-crystal BiMnO₃.

The isothermal magnetization and magnetocapacitance curves at various temperatures are displayed in figures 1(a) and (b), respectively. Here, we give the situations for the temperatures T = 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 K. As seen in figure 1(a), the saturated moment is near that expected for the full Mn moment at the lowest temperature (6 K), and gradually decreases with increasing temperature. In multiferroic perovskite BiMnO₃, because of a collinear ferromagnetic coupling structure with the spin direction along [010], the ground state magnetization should be zero in the *z* axis direction in the absence of magnetic field. However, if a magnetic field perpendicular to the ground state spin direction is applied to such ferromagnetically ordered Heisenberg spins, they are immediately flipped parallel to the magnetic field and then are gradually increased



Figure 1. Isothermal (a) magnetization and (b) field-induced change in the dielectric constant as a function of a magnetic field at various temperatures.

to saturation with increasing magnetic field, as shown in figure 1(a). At the same time, we can also find that the higher the temperature is, the more slowly the spins parallel to the magnetic field are flipped. This is analogous to the situation of Heisenberg antiferromagnet. If the magnetic field is applied to an antiferromagnetically ordered Heisenberg spins, they are immediately flipped perpendicular to the magnetic field and then are gradually changed into a ferromagnetic arrangement with increasing magnetic field.

To further clarify the character of the magnetocapacitance effect, we define $\Delta \varepsilon(h)/\varepsilon(0) = [\varepsilon(h) - \varepsilon(0)]/\varepsilon(0)$. From figure 1(b), we can find that a fairly large negative magnetocapacitance effect is observed in the vicinity of the ferromagnetic transition temperature $T_{\rm C} = 10$ K. For the real material BiMnO₃, experimental data show that the magnitude of the magnetocapacitance reaches a maximum $-\Delta \varepsilon/\varepsilon(0) = 0.6\%$ at 100 K, which is the critical temperature of BiMnO₃. So our numerical calculation is qualitatively consistent with the results of experiments [13] carried out on multiferroic BiMnO₃. By comparing figure 1(a) with (b), it is easy to find that the magnetization has reached ≥ 0.8 of its saturation value by a small magnetic field. This suggests that the magnetic domain rotation due to the external magnetic field least affects the dielectric constant at low temperature. With increasing temperature, the magnitude of the magnetocapacitance becomes larger, and reaches its maximum around $T_{\rm C} = 10$ K. On further increasing the temperature above $T_{\rm C}$,



Figure 2. (a) Polarization and (b) polarization susceptibility as a function of temperature under different conditions of magnetic field.

the magnetocapacitance again decreases. As for the one that will be also seen from the curve of $\chi_p - k_B T$, it should be ascribed to the magnetodielectric coupling term $g \sum_l \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} q_l^2 \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j$ in the thermodynamic potential. Furthermore, by fitting $-\Delta \varepsilon / \varepsilon(0)$ to the square of $\langle s_i \rangle$, it is not difficult to find that there exists such a simple relation: $-\Delta \varepsilon / \varepsilon(0) \propto \langle s_i \rangle^2$, which is in a agreement of the result of Ginzburg–Landau theory [16]. This is because [13, 16] the magnetocapacitance effect can also be phenomenologically interpreted in terms of a simple Ginzburg–Landau theory for the second-order phase transition of ferroelectromagnets.

The polarization $\langle q \rangle$ and polarization susceptibility χ_p as a function of temperature are shown in figures 2(a) and (b), in which the different magnetic fields are given as 0, 1, 3 and 5 T. It can be seen that the polarization $\langle q \rangle$ decreases with the increase in temperature. This is because increasing the temperature leads to a disorder in the electrical polarization order. The different magnetic fields, nevertheless, change not only the ferromagnetic order phase transition temperature T_C but also the value of the ferroelectric polarization $\langle q \rangle$ at a certain temperature around T_C . Since the magnetic-order parameter of a ferromagnet can be controlled by the application of a relatively low magnetic field near T_C , correspondingly, the single-well potential parameter is also modified due to the magnetodielectric coupling term: $g\langle q_i^2\rangle \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j$, i.e., the harmonic part parameter becomes $(1/2)m\omega_0^2 - g \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j$. In particular, we find that this enhancement of polarization $\langle q \rangle$ is very obviously around T_C because of the increase of the magnetic field. At the same time, from figure 2(b), it is easy to find that remarkable features in the χ_p-k_BT curves are anomalies in the vicinity of T_C , which is also consistent with the data of Kimura *et al* [13]. At zero magnetic field, χ_p decreases steeply below T_C ; this suggests that the magnetic ordering suppresses the dielectric constant. Due to the application of magnetic fields, a fairly large suppression of relative dielectric constant is induced around T_C ; that is to say, the application of magnetic fields suppresses the anomaly in dielectric constant at T_C , which is consistent with figure 1(b) where we have drawn a conclusion that the magnetic-field-induced change in the magnetocapacitance effect becomes maximal at T_C . In addition to the occurrence in ferroelectric ferromagnet BiMnO₃, of course, a similar cusp of the χ_p-k_BT curves correlated with the magnetic ordering can be observed in some ferroelectric antiferromagnets such as hexagonal rare-earth manganite YMnO₃ [11, 27].

4. Summary

In summary, we have examined the relation between magnetocapacitance and magnetization of a ferroelectromagnet BiMnO₃ with orbital ordering and magnetic field by an approach which combines the soft-mode theory for ferroelectric order and the mean-field approximation for ferromagnetic order. We have also found that a fairly large negative magnetocapacitance effect occurs around the ferromagnetic transition temperature $T_{\rm C}$. At the same time, the application of magnetic fields also induces a rather large suppression of the relative dielectric constant in the vicinity of $T_{\rm C}$. The results obtained are qualitatively consistent with previous theoretical and experimental measurements.

Acknowledgments

This project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No 10374069, Jiangsu provincial Natural Science Foundation under Grant No BK2003032, and Jiangsu provincial Education Foundation under Grant No 02KJB140002, No 02KJD140009.

References

- [1] Srinivasan G, Rasmussen E T, Levin B J and Hayes R 2002 Phys. Rev. B 65 134402
- [2] Patankar K K, Patil S A, Sivakumar K V, Mahajan R P and Kolekar Y D 2000 Mater. Chem. Phys. 65 97
- [3] Lopatin S, Lopatin I and Lisnevskaya I 1994 Ferroelectrics 162 63
- [4] Ramirez A P 1997 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 9 8171
- [5] Jin S, Tiefel T H, McCormack M, Fastnacht R A, Ramesh R and Chen L H 1994 Science 264 413
- [6] Tomashpolskii Yu Y, Venvetsev Yu N and Zhdanov G S 1964 Sov. Phys.-JETP 19 1294
- [7] Schmid H 1973 Int. J. Magn. 4 337
- [8] Cohen R E 2000 J. Phys. Chem. Solids 61 139
- [9] Folen V J, Rado G T and Stalder E W 1961 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 6 607 Rado G T and Folen V J 1961 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 7 310
- [10] Wiegelmann H, Stepanov A A, Vitebsky I M, Jansen A G M and Wyder P 1994 Phys. Rev. B 49 10039
- [11] Huang Z J, Cao Y, Xue Y Y and Chu C W 1997 Phys. Rev. B 56 2623
- [12] Katsufuji T and Takagi H 2001 Phys. Rev. B 64 054415 Katsufuji T, Mori S, Masaki M, Moritomo Y, Yamamoto N and Takagi H 2001 Phys. Rev. B 64 104419
- [13] Kimura T, Kawamoto S, Yamada I, Azuma M, Takano M and Tokura Y 2003 Phys. Rev. B 67 180401
- [14] Schmid H 1994 Ferroelectrics 62 317
- Schmid H 1999 Ferroelectrics 221 9
- [15] Moreira dos Santos A, Parashar S, Raju A R, Zhao Y S, Cheethan A K and Rao C N R 2002 Solid State Commun. 122 49

Hill N A 2000 J. Phys. Chem. B 104 6694

[16] Smolenskii G A and Chupis I E 1982 Sov. Phys.-Usp. 25 475

- [17] Haida M, Kohn K and Kobayashi J 1975 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 39 1625
- [18] Bertant F, Forrat F and Fang P 1963 C. R. Acad. Sci. USSR 256 1958
- [19] Yakel H L, Kehler W C, Bertant E F and Forrat E F 1963 Acta Crystallogr. 16 957
- [20] Atou T, Chiba H, Ohoyama K, Yamaguchi Y and Syono Y 1999 J. Solid State Chem. 145 639
- [21] Moreira dos Santos A et al 2002 Phys. Rev. B 66 064425
- [22] Seshadri R and Hill N A 2001 Chem. Mater. 13 2892
- [23] Liu J M, Li Q C, Gao X S, Yang Y and Zhou X H 2002 Phys. Rev. B 66 054416
- [24] Gao X S, Liu J M, Chen X Y and Liu Z G 2000 J. Appl. Phys. 88 4250
- [25] Zhong C G and Jiang Q 2002 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14 8605
- [26] Janssen T and Tjion J A 1981 Phys. Rev. B 24 2245
- [27] Iwata N and Kohn K 1998 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 67 3318